Comparative Analysis for Traditional and Object-Oriented Approach using COCOMO-II Model for Cost Estimation

1 Amar Pal Yadav 2 C. S. Yadav 3 Ram Kumar Sharma

Abstract: The role to measuring the software development effort for the line of code, use case point effort and development effort using different modules. The problem of cost estimation in software engineering has been addressed by several researchers. The aim of this research is to identify the use of complexity metrics as important parameters of programming language based on different attributes for effort estimation. There are many software metrics used for cost estimation. In this paper, introduce new approach with COCOMO II model for estimating the cost based on LOC metrics for different traditional and object oriented programming languages. Also, it describes software metrics used for software project cost estimation. This paper summarizes existing literature on software project cost estimation.
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I- INTRODUCTION

There are a number of competing software cost estimation methods available for software developers to predict effort and cost. Software metrics gather information about a product based on its measurable characteristics and hence provide good criteria for measuring the similarity of several software products. In this paper, a survey of several cost estimation related software metrics is carried out.
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The goal is to evaluate these metrics and provide a common standard in terms of software metrics and attributes that are related to cost estimation [2, 4]. Software cost estimation of a project is pivotal to the acceptance or rejection of the development of software project. Various Software cost estimation techniques have been in practice with their own strengths and limitations. The latest of these is object-oriented one. Currently object-oriented approach for Software cost estimation is based on Line of Code (LOC), function points, functions and classes etc. This paper summarizes research in deriving a baseline COCOMO 2.0 model tailored to these new forms of software c, including cost estimation rationales for the model decisions [1, 3].

II- PROBLEM STATEMENT

Traditional software size metrics analysis depend upon Line of code, Function point analysis, Software size, Extension of function point, Object point etc are used. Among all the methods Line of Code and function point are the most popular metrics [5]. But both metrics along with their limitations are as follows:

A. Line of Code:

The Line of code is the oldest, simplest and most widely used metrics for calculation of program size. It counts the ‘Number of Instructions’ of a program excluding comments and blank lines in terms of SLOC (Source Lines of Code). SLOC is a key input for estimating project effort and is also used to calculate productivity and other measurements [6].
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B. Function Point:

Function Point analysis is one of the best methods in traditional metrics for measuring the size of software.

The conceptual idea behind the function point metric is that the size of a software product is directly dependent on the number of different functions or features it supports. A software product supporting many features would certainly be of larger size than a product with less number of features. Function points represent logical size, as opposed to physical size (like SLOC or objects) [7].

So Object-Oriented Measurement has become an increasingly popular research area. Metrics are the powerful support tools in software development and maintenance. These are used to access software quality, to estimate complexity, cost and effort, to control and improve processes. The metrics that is important to calculate effort between Traditional and Object-Oriented approach [8, 9].

TRADITIONAL VS. OBJECT ORIENTED METRIC:
The recent trend of using Object Oriented tends developer estimating the size of their development projects. Traditional software measurement techniques have proven unsatisfactory for measuring productivity and predicting effort. There are many aspects that an Object Oriented metric must have if it has to provide accurate effort prediction. Also, it is important to include information about communication between objects and reuse through inheritance in the ‘size’ as well. Unlike traditional metrics, which are based on the data and procedure model of structured analysis, Object Oriented metrics are based on the objects and their characteristics [6]. The limitations of traditional methods, when applied to Object Oriented solution are that, they tend to measure only one aspect of the software i.e. the functionality. Functionality is required when effort is need to be predicted. However, considering only this aspect, particularly in a well-designed OO solution is not sufficient, as in addition to functionality, a level of complexity is also added to the software that depends on the amount of communication between the objects in the system. Another important aspect of object-oriented size is reuse through inheritance. A good object-oriented analysis involves identifying groups of objects (actors) whose behaviors are similar, number of classes and number of methods [10]. The primary objectives for Object Oriented metrics are no different than those for metrics derived for conventional software and aims at:

- To better understand the quality of the product
- To assess the effectiveness of the process
- To improve the quality of work performed at a project level various object oriented metrics have been proposed in literature [11].

Object-Oriented Measurement has become an increasingly popular research area. Metrics are the powerful support tools in software development and maintenance. These are used to access software quality, to estimate complexity, cost and effort, to control and improve processes. The metrics that is important to calculate effort between Traditional and Object-Oriented approach [12].

(i.) CYCLOMATIC COMPLEXITY (CC):
Cyclomatic complexity is a measure of a module that control flow complexity based on graph theory [5]. Cyclomatic complexity of a module uses control structures to create a control flow matrix, which is used to generate a connected graph. These graphs represent the control paths through the module. The complexity of the graph is the complexity of the module. Fundamentally, the CC of a module is roughly equivalent to the number of decision points and is a measure of the minimum number of test cases that would be required to cover all execution paths. A high Cyclomatic complexity indicates that the code may be of low quality and difficult to test and maintain [6].

(ii) SOURCE LINES OF CODE (SLOC):
The SLOC metric measures the number of physical lines of active code, that is, no blank or commented lines code [10]. Counting the SLOC is one of the earliest and easiest approaches to measuring complexity. In general the higher the SLOC in a module the less understandable and maintainable [13].
(iii) COMMENT PERCENTAGE (CP):
The CP metric is defined as the number of commented lines of code divided by the number of non-blank lines of code. Usually 20% indicates adequate commenting for C++. A high CP value facilitates in maintaining a system [11].

III- PROPOSED WORK AND METHODOLOGY

Presents an overview of the metrics applied for object oriented systems. The new approach supports the continued use of traditional metrics, but within the structures and confines of object oriented systems [13, 14].

The first two metrics in Table 1 are examples of traditional metrics applied to the object oriented structure of methods instead of functions or procedures. The next six metrics are specifically for object oriented systems and the object oriented construct applicable is indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>METRIC</th>
<th>TRADITIONAL/OO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRADITIONAL</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>METHOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRADITIONAL/OO</td>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>METHOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO</td>
<td>RFC</td>
<td>CLASS/METHOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO</td>
<td>WMC</td>
<td>CLASS/METHOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO</td>
<td>LCOM</td>
<td>CLASS/COHESION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO</td>
<td>DIT</td>
<td>INHERITENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO</td>
<td>NOC</td>
<td>COUPLING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We implemented with common approach traditional and object oriented by using the LOC metrics.

(i) Metric measurement Line of Code (LOC): In this measurement we obtain a count of total number of lines in the module. It includes source lines, blank lines, comment lines.

a- Physical Line of Code:
   This measure provides a count of total number of source line in the module.

b- Number of Statement:
   This measure indicates total number of statement in the module. It includes if, else, switch case, while, do while, for statements.

c- Comment Lines:
   This measure indicates total number of comment lines in a module.

d- Blank Line:
   This measure indicates total number of blank lines in a module.

e- Non-comment and non-blank (NCNB):
   This measure provides count of all lines that are not comments and not blanks.

(ii) Project wide Metrics:

   a- Reuse Ratio (R):
      The reuse ratio (R) is given by R=Number of super class/Total number of class.

   b- Specialization Ration (S):
      This ratio measures the extent to which a super class has captured abstraction.
      S=Number of subclass/ number of super class.

   c- Average Inheritance Depth

The cost estimation model used in the calibration is COCOMOII early design model. The estimation of the project size will be in Object Oriented Function Points (OOFP) instead of traditional Function point (FP) used in COCOMOII model [16].
Estimate the effort required to finish this project using calibrated COCOMOII early design model

\[
\text{Effort PM} = A \times (\text{Size})^B \times EM1 \times EM2 \times \ldots \times EM7
\]

A: is the constant (calibrated according to historical data of the information)

Size: the estimated thousand Line of Code (KLOC)

B: Exponent value calculated for each project by considering five scale factors described in COCOMOII.

EM: is the effort multiplier according to COCOMOII values

i. Estimate the cost of the project in SR by the equation

ii. Estimated Software Cost = Estimated Effort \times Labor Rate of the organization.

IV- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Object Oriented Metrics in Software Engineering Approach

―Given the central role that software development plays in the delivery and application of Information Technology, managers are increasingly focusing on process improvement in the software development area. This demand has spurred the provision of a number of new and/or improved approaches to software development, with perhaps the most prominent being object-orientation (OO) [15]. In addition, the focus on process improvement has increased the demand for software measures, or metrics‖.

The Software cost estimation techniques provides the support for minimal line of code in a project. There are different techniques for cost estimation, but the object oriented model using COCOMOII is the best model for calculating the cost.

In Traditional Approach this criterion depends on the type of model and size of project, but in general as shows from figure 1 is little above from the middle, however the Object-Oriented Approach depends on the complexity of project that leads to increase the cost than other approach. The traditional approach uses traditional projects that used in development of their procedural programming like C; this approach leads software developers to focus on Decomposition of larger algorithms into smaller ones. The object-oriented approach uses to development the object-oriented projects that use the object-oriented programming like: C++ and Java.

The object-oriented approach to software development has a decided advantage over the traditional approach in dealing with complexity and the fact that most contemporary languages and tools are object-oriented.

V- CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

A metric for software model complexity which is a combination of some of the metrics mentioned above with a new approach. With this metric we can measure software’s overall complexity. Traditional Methods used for size
estimation requires lot of efforts and do not give accurate results. Also they do not support the features of newer and rapid technologies like Object Oriented technologies.

In an Object Oriented Paradigm, several metrics are suggested by various researchers for size estimation. We estimate the cost of software project using COCOMOII model based on LOC. By using the COCOMOII model metrics gives accurate results with less effort than traditional methods. Also these metrics take care of complexity, which is a crucial aspect for size estimation. Size being an important factor for the effort/cost/duration estimation. However, manual efforts are required for estimating the same. Further studies are required for proposing new metrics which can automate the process of size estimation. In future we can also work various other metrics for cost estimation on RFC, WMC etc.
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