

A Survey on Various Median Filtering Techniques for Removal of Impulse Noise from Digital Images.

Ms. Rohini R. Varade, Prof. M. R. Dhotre, Ms. Archana B. Pahurkar

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication,

Government College of Engineering, Jalgaon (Maharashtra), India

Abstract—The existence of impulse noise is one of the most frequent problems in many digital image processing applications. So for the removal of such impulse noise median based filter becomes widely used. However, there are many variations of median filter in literature. In addition to standard median filter, there are weighted median filter, recursive median filter, iterative median filter, directional median filter, adaptive median filter and switching median filter. Therefore, this paper will survey these median filtering Techniques.

Index Terms—Adaptive median filter, directional median filter, impulse noise, iterative median filter, median filter, recursive median filter, switching median filter, weighted median filter.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital images which are related to digital signals, are normally corrupted by many types of noise, including impulse noise [1]. Impulse noise is a set of random pixels which has a very high contrast compared to the surroundings. So, even a small percentage of impulse noise distorts the image greatly compared to other noises [2-3]. Malfunctioning pixels in camera sensors, faulty memory locations in hardware, or transmission of the image in a noisy channel, are some of the common causes for impulse noise [4].

One of the most popular solution to deal with impulse noise is by using rank-order filters, or also known as order-statistic filters. This type of filters is nonlinear and works in spatial domain. It uses sliding window approach, where on each sliding-iteration, only the value of the pixel corresponds to the center of the window is changed. This value is obtained based on the ordered intensity values of the pixels contained in the area defined by the filtering window [1-2].

Median filter is an order statistic filter which is also non-linear. A median filter works in a window of size $W_M \times W_N$ where, W_M and W_N are both odd. It replaces the center pixel with a value equal to the median of all the pixels in window.

So, using a median filter will help reduce least and highest intensity value pixels, generally represented by the impulse noise and so the picture clarity improves. [5]

II. VARIOUS MEDIAN FILTERING TECHNIQUES

Much wider range of algorithms is provided to filter the digital images from the impulse noise. Here in this Survey paper we study various median filtering techniques to remove impulse noise.

A. Standard median filter (SMF)

The standard median filter [6] is a simple rank selection filter also called as median smoother, introduced by tukey in 1971 that attempts to remove impulse noise by changing the luminance value of the center pixel of the filtering window with the median of the luminance values of the pixels contained within the window. Although the median filter is simple and provides a reasonable noise removal performance, it removes thin lines and blurs image details even at low noise densities. The filtered image $S = \{S(i,j)\}$ from SMF can be defined by the following equation [1]:

$$S(i,j) = \text{Median}(k,l) \in W_{m,n} \{D(i+k, j+l)\} \dots \dots (1)$$

Where $W_{m,n}$ is a sliding window of size $m \times n$ pixels centered at coordinates (i, j) . The median value is calculated by using equation (1) with $n_s = m \times n$

Although SMF can significantly reduce the level of corruption by impulse noise, uncorrupted pixel intensity values are also altered by SMF. This undesired situation happens because SMF does not differentiate between uncorrupted from corrupted pixels. Furthermore, SMF requires a large filter size if the corruption level is high. Yet, large filter of SMF will introduce a significant distortion into the image [7].

It is worth noting that equation (1) is normally using sorting algorithm such as quick-sort or bubble-sort to arrange the samples in increasing or decreasing order. Even though sorting algorithm can be easily implemented, sorting procedure requires long computational time when $W_{m,n}$ is large filter because the number of samples (i.e. $n_s = m \times n$) is big. Thus, in order to avoid from using any direct sorting algorithm, the use of local histograms has been proposed for median value calculation. The time required to form local histogram can be reduced by using a method proposed by Huang et al. [8], where instead of updating $m \times n$ samples, only $2m$ samples need to be updated in each sliding-iteration.

B. Weighted Median Filter (WMF)

Weighted median filter is one of the branch of median filter (WMF). It was first introduced by Justusson in 1981, and further elaborated by Brownrigg. The operations involved in WMF are similar to SMF, except that WMF has weight associated with each of its filter element. These weights correspond to the number of sample duplications for the calculation of median value.

The filtered image $S = \{S(i, j)\}$ from WMF can be defined by the following equation [7]:

$$S(i, j) = \text{Median}(k, l) \in W_{m, n} \{W_{m, n}(k, l) \otimes D(i+k, j+1)\} \dots \dots (2)$$

Where operator \otimes indicates repetition operation. The median value is calculated using equation (1) with n_s is equal to the total of $W_{m, n}(k, l)$. Normally, the filter weight $W_{m, n}$ is set such that it will decrease when it is located away from the center of the filtering window. By doing so, it is expected that the filter will give more emphasis to the central pixel, and thus improve the noise suppression ability while maintaining image details [9-12]. However, the successfulness of weighted median filter in preserving image details is highly dependent on the weighting coefficients, and the nature of the input image itself. Unfortunately, in practical situations, it is difficult to find the suitable weighting coefficients for this filter, and this filter requires high computational time when the weights are large [13-15].

1. Central Weighted Median Filter (CWMF)

It is special type of median filter. CWM is a filtering technique in which filter gives more weight only to the central value of a window, and thus it is easier to design and implement than general WM filters [16].

2. Adaptive Weighted Median Filter (AWMF)

Adaptive weighted median filters (AWMF), which is an extension to WMF. By using a fixed filter size $W_{m, n}$, the weights of the filter will be adapted accordingly base on the

local noise content. This adaptation can be done in many ways, mostly based on the local statistics of the damaged image [17].

C. Directional Median Filter

Directional median filter, or also known as stick median filter, works by separating its 2-D filter into several 1-D filter components [18-20]. Each filter component or stick, presented as a straight line, corresponds to a certain direction or angle θ . For a window of size $m \times n$ pixels, there are $m+n-2$ sticks that will be used. The computed median values from these 1-D filters are then combined to obtain the final result. In [20], the output intensity is defined as:

$$S(i, j) = \max\{\text{Median}(k, l) \in W_{\theta} \{D(i+k, j+1)\}\} \dots \dots (3)$$

Where W_{θ} is the stick. Here, the output intensity is defined as the largest median value determined at each location.

D. Iterative Median Filter

Iterative method requires the same procedure to be repeated several times. In general, iterative median filter with n_i iterations, requires $n_i - 1$ temporary images. Iteration procedure enables median filtering process to use smaller filter size and reduce the computational time, while maintaining local features or edges of the image. The number of iterations n_i can be set by the user, or the iteration process stops when the output image converged (i.e. the current output image is equal to the previous output image). In practical, the number of iterations needed is dependent to the level of corruption and also the nature of the input image itself [21-24].

E. Recursive Median Filter

The recursive median (RM) filter is a modification of the SM filter defined in (1). RM filtering can extract signal roots better than SM filtering, and is useful alternative to SM filtering in some applications. In general, RM filters are implemented by modifying an SM filtering algorithm and, as a consequence, the implementation of RM filters is computationally and structurally more complex than that of SM filters [25].

F. Adaptive Median Filter

The Adaptive Median Filter is designed to eliminate the problems faced with the standard median filter. The basic difference between the two filters is that, in the Adaptive Median Filter, the size of the window surrounding each pixel is variable. This variation depends on the median of the pixels in the present window. If the median value is an impulse, then the size of the window is expanded.

Otherwise, further processing is done on the part of the image within the current window specifications. ‘Processing’ the image basically entails the following: The center pixel of the window is evaluated to verify whether it is an impulse or not.

If it is an impulse, then the new value of that pixel in the filtered image will be the median value of the pixels in that window. If, however, the center pixel is not an impulse, then the value of the center pixel is retained in the filtered image. Thus, unless the pixel being considered is an impulse, the gray-scale value of the pixel in the filtered image is the same as that of the input image. Thus, the Adaptive Median Filter solves the dual purpose of removing the pulse noise from the image and reducing distortion in the image. Adaptive Median Filtering can handle the filtering operation of an image corrupted with impulse noise of probability greater than 0.2. This filter also smoothens out other types of noise, thus, giving a much better output image than the standard median filter.[1]

G. Switching Median Filter

Nowadays, one of the popular median filtering approaches is switching median filter, or also known as decision based median filter. This approach has been used in recent works, such as [26-29]. Switching median filter tries to minimize the undesired alteration of uncorrupted pixels by the filter. Therefore, in order to overcome this problem, switching median filter checks each input pixel whether it has been corrupted by impulse noise or not. Then it changes only the intensity of noisy pixel candidates, while left the other pixels unchanged. Normally, switching median filter is built from two stages. The first stage is for noise detection, while the second stage is for noise cancellation. The output from the noise detection stage is a noise mask M . This mask is a binary mask.

Noise detection procedure used by researchers are normally depending on the noise model been used. For fixed-valued impulse noise (i.e. salt-and-pepper noise), mostly the noise detection is done by thresholding the intensity values of the damaged image. Other popular noise detection methods include by checking the difference between intensity of the current pixel with its surrounding, inspecting the difference of the damaged image with its median filtered versions, or by applying some special filters. Next, mask M will be used in the noise cancellation stage, where only pixels with $M = 1$ are processed by the median filter. For the calculation of median, only "noise-free" pixels (i.e. pixels with $M = 0$) are taken as the sample.

III. SUMMARY

This paper surveys seven common median filtering techniques. Each technique has its own advantages, and disadvantages. From literature, we found that most of the recent median filtering based methods employ two or more than two of these framework in order to obtain an improved impulse noise cancellation.

References

- [1] Rafael C. Gonzalez, Richard E. Woods, “Digital Image Processing”, 2nd edition, Prentice Hall, 2002.
- [2] Maria Petrou, Panagiotas Bosdogianni, “Image Processing: The Fundamental”, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2000.
- [3] Jung-Hua Wang, Lian-Da Lin, “Improved median filter using min-max algorithm for image processing”, Electronics Letters, vol. 33, no. 16, pp. 1362-1363, July 1997.
- [4] Raymond H. Chan, Chung-Wa Ho, Mila Nikolova, “Salt and pepper noise removal by median-type noise detectors and detail preserving regularization”, IEEE Trans. Image Processing, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 1479-1485, October 2005
- [5] Thota Susmitha., Ganeswara Rao M.V, Kumar Dr.P.Rajesh, “FPGA Implementation of Adaptive Median Filter for the Removal of Impulse Noise”, International Journal of Electronics & Communication Technology, Vol. 2, SP-1, Dec. 2011.
- [6] S. E. Umbaugh, Computer Vision and Image Processing, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1998.
- [7] R. K. Yang, L. Yin, M. Gabbouj, J. Astola, and Y. Neuvo, “Optimal weighted median filtering under structural constraints,” IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 1995, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 591-604.
- [8] T. S. Huang, G. J. Yang, and G. Y. Tang, “A fast two-dimensional median filtering algorithm,” IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 1979, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 13-18.
- [9] T.-C. Lin, “A new adaptive center weighted median filter for suppression impulsive noise in images,” Information Sciences, 2007, vol. 177, no. 4, pp. 1073-1087.
- [10] V. R. Vijay Kumar, S. Manikandan, P. T. Vanathi, P. Kanagasabapathy, and D. Ebenezer, “Adaptive window length recursive weighted median filter for removing impulse noise in images with details preservation,” ECTI Transactions on Electrical Eng., Electronics, and Communications, 2008, vol. 6, no.1, pp. 73-80.
- [11] S.-J. Ko and Y. H. Lee, “Center weighted median filters and their applications to image enhancement,” IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, 1991, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 984-993.
- [12] T. Sun, “Center weighted median filters: Some properties and their applications in image processing,” Signal Processing, 1994, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 213-229.
- [13] K. Arakawa, “Median filter based on fuzzy rules and its application to image restoration,” Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1996, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 3-13.
- [14] A. Asano, K. Itoh, and Y. Ichioka, “Optimization of the weighted median filter by learning,” Optics Express, 1991, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 168-170.
- [15] G. R. Arce and J. L. Paredes, “Recursive weighted median filters admitting negative weights and their optimization,” IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 2000, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 768-779.
- [16] S. J. Ko, and Y. H. Lee, 1991. Center weighted median filters and their applications to image enhancement, IEEE Transactions, pp. 984-993
- [17] C. S. Panda, S. Patnaik, Filtering Corrupted Image and Edge Detection in Restored Grayscale Image Using Derivative Filters, International Journal of Image Processing, (IJIP) Volume (3): Issue(3), pp 105-119.
- [18] Y. Q. Dong and S. F. Xu, “A new directional weighted median filter for removal of random-valued impulse noise,” IEEE Signal Processing Letters, 2007, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 193-196.

- [19] A. Hussain, M. A. Jaffar, and A. M. Mirza, "A hybrid image restoration approach: Fuzzy logic and directional weighted median based uniform impulse noise removal," *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 2010, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 77–90.
- [20] R. N. Czerwinski, D. L. Jones, and W. D. O'Brien Jr, "Ultrasound speckle reduction by directional median filtering," In *Proceedings of International Conference on Image Processing 1995*, 1995, pp. 358–361.
- [21] Z. Wang and D. Zhang, "Progressive switching median filter for the removal of impulse noise from highly corrupted images," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing*, 1999, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 78–80.
- [22] R. H. Chan, C. Hu, and M. Nikolova, "An iterative procedure for removing random-valued impulse noise," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2004, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 921–924.
- [23] C. Spence and C. Fancourt, "An iterative method for vector median filtering," In *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, 2007 (ICIP 2007), 2007, vol. V, pp. 265–268.
- [24] A. R. Forouzan and B. N. Araabi, "Iterative median filtering for restoration of images with impulse noise," In *Proceedings of the 2003 10th IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Circuits and Systems*, 2003, vol. 1, pp. 232–235.
- [25] G. R. Arce and J. L. Paredes, "Recursive weighted median filters admitting negative weights and their optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2000, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 768–779.
- [26] P.-E. Ng and K.-K. Ma, "A switching median filter with boundary discriminative noise detection for extremely corrupted images," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2006, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1506–1516.
- [27] C.-H. Hsieh, P.-C. Huang, and S.-Y. Hung, "Noisy image restoration based on boundary resetting BDND and median filtering with smallest window," *WSEAS Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2009, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 178–187.
- [28] V. Jayaraj, D. Ebenezer, and V. R. Vijayakumar, "A noise free estimation switching median filter for detection and removal of impulse noise in images," *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 2011, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 563–581.
- [29] H. Ibrahim, "Adaptive switching median filter utilizing quantized window size to remove impulse noise from digital images," *Asian Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communication and Multimedia*, 2012, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–6.