

Branch and Bound Technique in Two Stage Flow Shop Scheduling Problem Including idle/waiting Time Operator $O_{i,w}$ For a Job Block Where Jobs Are Performed in The Form of String

Deepak Gupta, Harminder Singh, Sameer Sharma

Abstract— This paper presents an algorithm with the help of branch and bound approach for a flowshop scheduling problems consisting of n jobs to be processed on 2 machines in which idle/waiting Time Operator $O_{i,w}$ for a job block and all the jobs are performed in the form of string .the objective is to get the optimal sequence of jobs to minimize the total elapsed time.

Index Terms— Equivalent job, processing time ,elapsed time, branch and bound

INTRODUCTION

The basic study in the field of scheduling was made by Johnson (1954) who developed a polynomial time algorithm to minimize make span in two, three stage flow shop. Conway et al (1963) formulate the integer programming model for scheduling, Ignall E and Schrage (1965) applied Branch and Bound Technique in flow shop problem. Gupta and Dudek (1971) conducted an experimental study of a comprehensive performance measure in the flow shop schedule. Maggu and Das (1977) introduced the equivalent job-block concept in the theory of scheduling. Singh T.P. & Gupta Deepak (2004) made an attempt to study the optimal two stage production schedule in which processing time and set up time both were associated with probabilities including job block criteria.

Manuscript received June, 2012.

Deepak Gupta, Prof. & Head, Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana, India

Harminder Singh ,Department of Applied Science,Bhai Gurdas Institute of Engineering And Technology,sangrur,Punjab ,india

Sameer Sharma, Department of Mathematics, D. A. V. College, Jalandhar City, Punjab, India.

Scheduling is the allocation of resources over time to perform a collection of task. It is an important subject of production and operations management area. Scheduling problems occurred in real world applications generally are flow shop scheduling problems. Each job has the same routing through machines and the sequence of operations is fixed in a flow shop. Branch and bound algorithms are the basic study of flowshop scheduling was developed by Johnson . Further work was developed by Ignall and Schrage , MacNaughton, Smith and Dudek, Maggu and Das , Yoshida and Hitomi , Singh and Deepak , etc by considering various parameters. The basic concept of equivalent job for a job block was introduced by Maggu and Dass . Heydari ` dealt with a flow shop scheduling problem where n jobs are processed in two disjoint job blocks in a string consists of one job block in which order of jobs is fixed and other job block in which order of jobs is arbitrary. Further we have made an attempt to develop an algorithm in which processing time of each job is given and moreover we are using idle/waiting Time Operator $O_{i,w}$ for a group job for a job block and jobs are performed in the form of string.

2. Theorem 1 Equivalent Job Block Theorem :

Statement: Let n jobs 1, 2, 3, n are processed through two machines A & B in order AB with processing time a_i & b_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) on machine A and B respectively.

If

$$(a_p, b_p) O_{i,w} (a_q, b_q) = (\alpha\beta, b\beta)$$

then

$$a\beta = a_p + \max (a_q - b_p, 0)$$

and

$$b\beta = b_q + \max (b_q - a_q, 0)$$

where β is the equivalent job for job block (p, q) and $p, q \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

PROOF:

Starting by the equivalent job block criteria theorem for $\beta = (p, q)$ given by Maggu & Das (5), we have:

$$a_\beta = a_p + a_q - \min(b_p, a_q) \quad \dots(1)$$

$$b_\beta = b_p + b_q - \min(b_p, a_q) \quad \dots(2)$$

Now, we prove the above said theorem by a simple logic:

CASE I: When $a_q > b_p$

$$a_q > b_p > 0$$

$$\max \{ a_q > b_p, 0 \} = a_q > b_p \quad \dots(3)$$

and

$$b_p > a_q < 0$$

$$\max \{ b_p > a_q, 0 \} = 0 \quad \dots(4)$$

$$(1) \quad a_\beta = a_p + a_q - \min(b_p, a_q)$$

$$= a_p + a_q - b_p \quad \text{as } a_q > b_p$$

$$= a_p + \max \{ a_q - b_p, 0 \} \quad \text{using (3)}$$

$$(2) \quad b_\beta = b_p + b_q - \min(b_p, a_q)$$

$$= b_p + b_q - b_p \quad \text{as } a_q > b_p$$

$$= b_q + (b_p - b_p)$$

$$= b_q + 0$$

$$= b_q + \max(b_p - a_q, 0) \quad \text{using (4)}$$

CASE II: When $a_q < b_p$

$$a_q - b_p < 0$$

$$\max(a_q - a_q, 0) = b_p - a_q$$

and $b_p - a_q > 0$

$$\max(b_p - a_q, 0) = b_p - a_q$$

$$(1) \quad a_\beta = a_p + a_q - \min(b_p, a_q)$$

$$= a_p + a_q - a_q \quad \text{as } a_q > b_p$$

$$= a_p + a_q - a_q \quad \text{as } a_q > b_p$$

$$= a_p + 0$$

$$= a_p + \max(a_q - b_p, 0) \quad \text{using (7)...(9)}$$

$$(2) \quad b_\beta = b_p + b_q - \min(b_p, a_q)$$

$$= b_p + b_q - a_q \quad \text{as } a_q < b_p$$

$$= b_p + (b_p - a_q)$$

$$= b_p + \max(b_p - a_q, 0) \quad \text{using (8)...(10)}$$

CASE III: When $a_q = b_p$

$$a_q - b_p = 0$$

$$\max(a_q - b_p, 0) = 0 \quad \dots(11)$$

also

$$b_p - a_q = 0$$

$$\max(b_p - a_q, 0) = 0$$

$$(1) \quad a_\beta = a_p + a_q - \min(b_p, a_q) \quad \dots(12)$$

$$= b_p + a_q - a_p \quad \text{as } b_q = a_p$$

$$= a_p + 0$$

$$= a_p + \max(a_q - b_p, 0) \quad \dots(13)$$

$$(2) \quad b_\beta = b_p + b_q - \min(b_p, a_q) \quad \dots(12)$$

$$= b_p + b_q - b_p$$

$$= b_q + (b_p - b_p)$$

$$= b_q + 0$$

$$= b_q + \max(b_p - a_q, 0) \quad \text{using (12)} \quad \dots(14)$$

by (5), (6), (9), (10), (13) and (14) we conclude:

$$a_\beta = a_p + a_q - \max(a_q, b_p, 0)$$

$$b_\beta = b_p + \max(b_p, a_q, 0)$$

for all possible three cases

The theorem can be generalized for more number of job blocks as stated:

Let n jobs 1, 2, 3,n are processed through two machines A & B in order AB with processing time a_i & b_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) on machine A & B respectively.

If $(a_{i_0}, b_{i_0}) \quad O_{i,w} \quad (a_{i_1}, b_{i_1}) \quad O_{i,w} \quad (a_{i_2}, b_{i_2}) \quad O_{i,w} \dots \dots \dots O_{i,w} (a_{i_p}, b_{i_p}) = (a_\beta, b_\beta)$

Then

$$(a_\beta = a_{i_0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \max \{ a_{i_j} - b_{i_{(j-1)}} 0 \})$$

and

$$(b_\beta = b_{i_p} + \sum_{j=1}^p \max \{ b_{i_{(j-1)}} - a_{i_j}, 0 \})$$

where $i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots, i_p \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and β is the equivalent job for job block $(i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots, i_p)$. The proof can be made using Mathematical induction technique on the lines of Maggu & Das (7).

In the light of above theorem operator $O_{i,w}$ (Idle/Waiting time Operator) is defined as follows

Definition 1:

Let R_+ be the set of non negative numbers. Let $G = R_+ \times R_+$. Then $O_{i,w}$ is defined as a mapping from $G \times G \rightarrow G$ given by:
 $O_{i,w}[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] = (x_1, y_1) \quad O_{i,w}(x_2, y_2)$
 $= \{ x_1 + \max(x_2 - y_1, 0), y_2 + \max(y_1 - x_2, 0) \}$
 where $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in R_+$

Algorithm

: Step 1 : Determine equivalent jobs for each job blocks using idle/waiting Time Operator as following:
 $(a_p, b_p) \quad O_{i,w} \quad (a_q, b_q) = (a_\beta, b_\beta)$

then

$$a\beta = a_p + \max(a_q - b_p, 0)$$

and

$$b\beta = b_q + \max(b_q - a_q, 0)$$

where β is the equivalent job for job block (p, q) and $p, q \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

Step 2:

Calculate the lower bounds using the following formula:

$$(i) l_1 = t(j_r, 1) + \sum_{i \in j_r^1} G_i + \min_{i \in j_r^1} (H_i)$$

$$(ii) l_2 = t(j_r, 2) + \sum_{i \in j_r^2} H_i$$

Step 3:

Calculate $l = \max(l_1, l_2)$

Step 4:

We evaluate l first for the n classes of permutations, i.e. for these starting with 1, 2, 3, ..., n respectively, having labelled the appropriate vertices of the scheduling tree by these values.

Step 5:

Now explore the vertex with lowest label. Evaluate l for the $(n-1)$ subclasses starting with this vertex and again concentrate on the lowest label vertex. Continuing this way, until we reach at the end of the tree represented by two single permutations, for which we evaluate the total work duration. Thus we get the optimal schedule of the jobs.

Step 6: Prepare in-out table for the optimal sequence obtained in step 3 and get the minimum total elapsed time.

Numerical illustration:

Consider 6 jobs 2 machine flow shop problem whose processing time of the jobs on each machine is given

JOB S	MACHIN E A	MACHIN E B
	A _i	B _i
1	17	28
2	20	35
3	23	30
4	37	34
5	19	38
6	22	16

As per Step 1: Determine equivalent jobs for each job blocks using idle/waiting Time Operator as following:

$$(a_p, b_p) O_{i,w} (a_q, b_q) = (a\beta, b\beta)$$

then

$$a\beta = a_p + \max(a_q - b_p, 0)$$

and

$$b\beta = b_q + \max(b_q - a_q, 0)$$

where β is the equivalent job for job block (p, q) and $p, q \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

JOBS	MACHINE A ₁	MACHINE B ₁
α	22	34

β	17	34
λ	22	38

Again applying equivalent job block criteria, we get

JOBS	MACHINE A ₁	MACHINE B ₁
α	22	34
η	22	50

STEP 2:

$$J_1 = (\alpha), J_1^1 = (\eta)$$

STEP 3:

And $l_1 = 94$ and $l_2 = 84$

$$LB(1) = \max(94, 84) = 94$$

Similarly $LB(2) = 84$

STEP 4:

So, required sequence is $S = \eta, \alpha$

Which is $S = (1, 3, 5, 6, 2, 4)$

STEP 5 :

In-out table for S1 and the minimum total elapsed time as in tableau-

JOB S	MACHIN E A	MACHIN E B
	IN -OUT	IN -OUT
1	0-17	17-45
2	17-40	45-75
5	40-59	75-112
6	59-81	112-128
2	81-101	128-163
4	101-138	163-197

SO, the total elapsed time is 197 units

REFERENCES

- [1] Brah, S.A. and Loo, L.L., (1999), "Heuristics for Scheduling in a Flow Shop with Multiple Processors," *European Journal of Operation Research*, Vol. 113, No.1, pp.113-122.
- [2] Brown, A.P.G. and Lomnicki, Z.A. (1966), "Some applications of the branch and bound algorithm to the machine scheduling problem", *Operational Research Quarterly*, Vol. 17, pp.173-182.
- [3] Chander Shekharan, K, Rajendra, Deepak Chanderi (1992), "An efficient heuristic approach to the scheduling of jobs in a flow shop", *European Journal of Operation Research* Vol. 61, pp. 318-325.
- [4] Futatsuiishi, Y., Watanabe, I., and Nakanishi, T. (2002), "A Study of the Multi-Stage Flowshop Scheduling Problem with Alternative Operation Assignments," *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, Vol. 59, No. 3, pp.73-79.
- [5] Ignall, E. and Schrage, L. (1965), "Application of the branch-and-bound technique to some flowshop scheduling problem", *Operations Research*, Vol. 13, pp.400-412.
- [6] Johnson, S.M. (1954), "Optimal Two and Three Stage Production Schedules with Setup Times Include," *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 61-68.

- [7] Kim, J.S., Kang, S.H., and Lee, S.M. (1997), "Transfer Batch Scheduling for a Two-Stage Flowshop with Identical Parallel Machines at Each Stage," *Omega*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 547-555
- [8] Lomnicki, Z.A. (1965), "A branch-and-bound algorithm for the exact solution of the threemachine scheduling problem", *Operational Research Quarterly*, Vol. 16, pp.89-100.
- [9] Maggu & Das (1981), "On $n \times 2$ sequencing problem with transportation time of jobs", *Pure and Applied Matematika Sciences*, 12-16.
- [10] Yang, D.L. and Chern, M.S. (2000), "Two-Machine Flowshop Group Scheduling Problem," *Computers and Operations Research*, Vol.27, No.10, pp.975-985.
- [11] Yoshida, T. and Hitomi, K., (1979), "Optimal Two-Stage Production Scheduling with Setup Times Separated," *AIIE Transactions*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 261-273.
- [12] Maggu, P.L. and Das, G. (1980), "On idle/waiting time operator O_i , w having applications to solution of some sequencing/scheduling problems", *PAMS*, Vol. XI, No., pp 1-2.



Sameer Sharma received the M.Sc. degree in Mathematics from D. A. V. College, Jalandhar, Punjab in 1999. He has also done M.Phil., P.G.D.St. From Panjab University, Chandigarh and has a credit of 4 books and 35 research papers in various journals of international repute.



Deepak Gupta received the Ph.D degree in the field of scheduling from C.C.S. University, Merrut in 2007. He has a credit of 13 books and 75 research papers in various journals of international repute. He is a member of IACSIT, Singapore



Harminder Singh received the M.sc degree in Mthematics from Khalsa college Patiala in 2010 and He has also done M.phil in Maths from Maharishi Markandeshwar University ,Mullana Ambala Haryana OF 10 Research papers in various journals of international repute