Abstract—Based on 180nm CMOS technology a 64 bit domino logic adder is designed for energy and speed optimization. The adder is designed using 4 bit slice of carry look-ahead adder. Multiple slices are connected in ripple carry fashion to obtain 64 bit adder. This result in considerable reduction in time as compared to nominal ripple carry adder. Implementation of equations of sum, generate and propagate are implemented in domino CMOS logic using TSMC 180nm library to provide energy optimization. The latency is no more than 33 clocks with a transistor count of 1504. Average power results are also presented in this paper with selected input vectors. average power for the design is calculated over the transient analysis. The simulation report from the TannerTool T-spice gives the following result after complete simulation, average power is 4.65 microwatt.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Addition is one of the fundamental arithmetic operations. It is used extensively in many VLSI systems such as application-specific DSP architectures and microprocessors. In most of these systems, the adder is part of the critical path that determines the overall performance of the system. Along with improved performance of microprocessors, it is essential to improve the performance of the adder. Recently, a lot of adder structures were proposed by many other authors [1], [2].

Various binary adder architectures, a large family of prefix adders is particularly attractive because it is easily expressed and suggests very efficient implementations, i.e. Adders based on this formulation can be attractively fast and compact when implemented in VLSI [3]. Among those factors affecting the performance, the fan-out and wire length are often the deterministic factors. As VLSI technology moves into the deep submicron domain, the wiring problem becomes even more significant and, in many cases, dominates in both area and delay optimization [4], [5].

This paper describes a 64 bit adder implemented using slices of 4 bits. The 4 bit slice is a carry look-ahead adder implemented using CMOS domino logic with TSMC 180nm technology. Section II describes the equations used to implement the 4 bit slice and their circuit implementation in TannerTools. Section III focuses on the carry propagation chain analysis for the 64 bit adder and its design using 4 bit slices. The delay analysis is also presented in this section. Section IV shows the simulation results for the adder architecture and its blocks and conclusions are presented in the last section (V).

II. 4 BIT CARRY LOOK AHEAD ADDER WITH DOMINO CMOS LOGIC

The 4 bit slice is implemented using a carry look-ahead adder structure that contains the following blocks. The implementation of these blocks is also presented here and all are built using CMOS domino logic structures.

A) Sum circuit that calculates

\[ \text{Sum}(i) = a(i) \oplus b(i) \oplus \text{cin}(i) \]  

Eq.1

Fig. 1 shows the schematic of the SUM circuit. The SUM circuit is composed of two XOR gates. The XOR gate is modified from the cross-coupled version by replacing the NMOS portion with a clock gated NMOS. In this circuit, the PMOS transistors receive the input signal \(A, B,\) and \( \text{cin} \). The operation of this circuit can be divided into two phases: the IDLE PHASE and the EVALUATING PHASE. In the IDLE PHASE, the clock signal \(CLK\) is ‘logic 1’, and the output signal \(SUM\) will be ‘logic 0’. In the EVALUATING PHASE, the clock signal
CLK is ‘logic 0’, and the corresponding output signal SUM will be evaluated according to the input signals A, B, and Cin.

B) The carry out is defined as:
\[ c(i+1) = (\text{cin}(i) (a(i) \text{ or } b(i)) \text{ or } (a(i) \text{ b}(i)) ) \] [Eq.2]
For carry look ahead adder the generate and propagate equations [7] are
Generate: \[ g(0) = a(0) b(0) \] [Eq.3]
Propagate: \[ p(0) = a(0) + b(0) \] [Eq.4]
So the carry for the first block is given by:
\[ c(1) = g(0) + p(0)c(0) \] [Eq.5]
and in general:
\[ c(i+1) = g(i) + p(i)c(i) \] [Eq.6]

Hence for a 4 bit slice of carry look-ahead adder the carry block is implemented as:

Where the generators G1, G2, G3, G4 and propagators P1, P2, P3, P4 are implemented using the following logic equations and circuits.

C) Propagation and generation of carry across multiple bits provides low latency and hence, Propagate/Generate across multiple bits equations [7]

\[ P(0,1) = p(0) p(1) \] [Eq.7]
\[ G(0,1) = g(1) or p(1)g(0) \] [Eq.8]
In general, any j with i<j, j+1<k
\[ c(k+1) = G(i,k) + P(i,k)c(i) \] [Eq.9]
\[ G(i,k) = G(j+1,k) + P(j+1),G(i,j) \] [Eq.10]
\[ P(i,k) = P(i,j) P(j+1,k) \] [Eq.11]

So, in a nutshell the equations for propagate and generate are given by:

Carry Look-ahead Generate Logic
\[ g0 = a0 b0 \] [Eq.12]
\[ g1 = a1 b1 + g0 (a1 or b1) \] [Eq.13]

Carry Look-ahead Propagate Logic
\[ p0 = a0 + b0 \] [Eq.14]
\[ p(0,1) = (a1+b1) (a0 + b0) \] [Eq.15]
D) Finally, a 4 bit slice is created by using the above designed modules which is capable of computing a 4 bit addition.

This 4 bit block takes inputs of two 4 bit numbers, a carry-in and produces a 4 bit sum and carry-out. This block can be further instantiated multiple times in order to produce 8, 16, 32, 64 bit or any higher order adder circuit. In this paper we have implemented a 64 bit adder using 16 of the above slices as presented in next section.

III. 64 BIT ADDERS CARRY CHAIN ANALYSIS

Using the 4 bit carry look-ahead adder described in previous section, we have implemented a 64 bit adder structure with ripple carry propagation between the carry look-ahead adders [6].

The comparison of the designed 64 bit adder with respect to the worst case delay is presented henceforth.

For adding two n-bit binary numbers there are a total of 2n inputs
Using r-input logic gates, according to Spira’s bound $t \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$
For a ripple carry adder
The worst case delay for $C_n$ is $2n+1$ and the worst case delay for $S_{n-1}$ is $2n$
Hence for a 64 bit ripple carry adder, $n=64$ and the worst case delay for $C_{n}=129$ and for $S_{n-1}=128$.

In this paper the carry propagates only between the blocks of the carry look-ahead adders and hence there are only 16 propagations. So for the designed adder,

Delay for $C_{n}=33$ and for $S_{n-1}$ delay=32.

This is 4 times faster than the worst case delay for a ripple carry adder. Following is the 64 bit adder implementation using 4 bit slices of carry look-ahead adder.

IV SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS

The simulation is carried out in two parts, first each of the blocks in section II are simulated by applying appropriate vectors at the input and observing the outputs for the Boolean equations for each block.

A) Sum circuit simulation
Clock input provides the synchronous operation and also is a part of the domino logic. In the above simulation inputs to sum circuit in fig.1 are provided and the equation Eq.1 is verified.

B) Carry-out simulation shown below verifies Eq.6 when sample inputs are applied to circuit in Fig.2.

C) Simulation graphs for generate and propagate blocks are show below to verify equations Eq.12,13 and Eq.14,15 respectively.

D) The simulation result for 64 bit ripple carry adder designed in previous section is presented below for a given sample input vector

In the second part of the simulation, average power for the design is calculated over the transient analysis. The simulation report from the TannerTool T-spice gives the following result after complete simulation:

Power: \( \text{avgpower} = 4.6534e-006 \text{ Watts} \)

Transistor Count:

\( \text{MOSFETs} - 1504 \quad \text{MOSFET geometries} - 2 \)

Implemented in Tanner-13, for low power microwatts and high speed in GB

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a 64 bit adder is realized using multiple carry look-ahead adders designed in CMOS domino logic. From the analysis, it is concluded that a balance between the performance, power and area can be achieved by designing the lower order adder (bit slice, 4 bit in our case) and then
cascading multiple of these to form higher order adder. Low power consumption adder might have a long delay and vice versa. Therefore, there are no adders that can perform the best for all applications and designers can choose the adder that satisfy their need and requirements and the design presented in this paper provides the flexibility to achieve requirements as per design.
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